

GAUSSIAN PROCESSES
EXERCISE SHEET 11: INFINITE DIMENSIONAL MEASURES

Exercise 1 (Infinite-dimensional Lebesgue Measure). Consider

$$(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathcal{F}_{\pi}, \text{Leb}_{\otimes\mathbb{N}})$$

where $\text{Leb}_{\otimes\mathbb{N}}$ is any measure satisfying, for sequences of real numbers (a_i) and (b_i) with $a_i < b_i$,

$$\text{Leb}_{\otimes\mathbb{N}}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} [a_i, b_i]\right) = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (b_i - a_i).$$

Recall that the product topology on $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is metrizable; one compatible metric is

$$d(x, y) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \frac{|x_n - y_n|}{1 + |x_n - y_n|}, \quad x = (x_n), y = (y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}.$$

(a) Compute

$$\text{Leb}_{\otimes\mathbb{N}}([a, b]^{\mathbb{N}}).$$

(b) For $r > 0$, let $B(0, r)$ denote the open ball centered at 0 with radius r with respect to the above metric d . Compute

$$\text{Leb}_{\otimes\mathbb{N}}(B(0, r)).$$

(c) Which natural measure-theoretic property fails for $\text{Leb}_{\otimes\mathbb{N}}$? In other words, indicate a plausible restriction (such as σ -finiteness) under which one concludes that a nontrivial infinite-dimensional Lebesgue measure cannot exist.

Exercise 2 (0-1 Law for Percolation). Let $\Gamma : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a random field such that the variables $\{\Gamma(v)\}_{v \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ are i.i.d. Gaussian. For $a \in \mathbb{R}$, define

$$U_a := \{v \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \Gamma(v) > a\}.$$

Consider the subgraph of \mathbb{Z}^d induced by U_a . Show that the event

$$\{\text{there exists at least one infinite connected component in the induced subgraph}\}$$

is measurable and has probability either 0 or 1.

Exercise 3 (Continuous Modification of BM). Let $\{X_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ be a stochastic process satisfying all standard properties of Brownian motion except continuity (i.e., assume $X_0 = 0$ and Gaussian independent increments, but do not assume continuous sample paths).

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the dyadic partition of $[0, 1]$ with mesh 2^{-n} . Using only the values of X_t at the dyadic endpoints, propose a possible explicit formula for a continuous modification \tilde{X}_t of X_t .

Discuss whether the map

$$X. \mapsto \tilde{X}.$$

that you defined is measurable. If the map is only measurable on an event of probability 1, do you think it's enough?